Short-Circuit Currents in 6-500 kV Electrical Networks Containing Cable Lines

M. Dmitriev, PhD LLP "PolymerEnergy" Technical department Astana, Qazaqstan info@voltplace.com Dr. György Morva Obuda University, Budapest, Hungary Kando Kalman Faculty of Electrical Eng. Department of Power Systems, morva.gyorgy@uni-obuda.hu

Abstract — The article analyzes the impact of the cable line activeinductive impedances on short-circuit currents of the network. The use of correct parameters of cables, taking into account all the influencing factors, shows that in the high-voltage cable networks, the real currents of three-phase and single-phase short-circuits can be up to 30% higher than values possessed by the specialized software.

Keywords: short-circuit current, overhead line, cable line, singlecore cable, cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE), longitudinal impedance, positive-sequence, zero-sequence, screen grounding.

I. INTRODUCTION

Power transmission lines of 6-500 kV classes play a key role in the transmission and distribution of electric energy. The parameters of the lines have an impact on a wide range of characteristics of the electrical network:

- losses of active and reactive power;
- voltage levels in network nodes (busbars);
- short-circuit currents.

To calculate the normal and emergency modes of the network, it is important to use the correct values of positivesequence and zero-sequence line parameters:

- longitudinal active impedance (R_1, R_0) ;
- longitudinal inductive impedance (X_1, X_0) ;
- transverse capacitance (C_1, C_0) .

Below in the article we will evaluate the consequences that an incorrect setting of line parameters may have when calculating short-circuit currents. It is worth recalling that short-circuit currents play a key role in the design of electrical networks. For example, they have a direct impact:

- on the selection the cross-section of overhead line ground (shield) wires as well as on the cross-section of cores and screens of cables (issues of thermal resistance);
- on the selection of fastening of current-carrying parts (issues of dynamic stability);
- on the selection of switches (issues of breaking capacity);
- on set up a relay protection and automation;
- on the potentials of grounding system and the safety of personnel;
- on electromagnetic compatibility (magnetic field issues).

Further, talking about short-circuit currents, we will not consider the capacitance of the line C_1 , C_0 , but we will focus only on the longitudinal parameters R_1 , R_0 and X_1 , X_0 . This assumption is due to the fact that the capacitance of the line C_1 , C_0 , as a rule, does not affect the value of the short-circuit current (here we do not take into account the fault-to-ground in the network with an isolated neutral).

Dr. Péter Kádár, senior member of IEEE Obuda University, Budapest, Hungary Kando Kalman Faculty of Electrical Eng. Department of Power Systems, kadar.peter@uni-obuda.hu

Ways of determining the longitudinal parameters differ depending on the sequence in question. If the impedances R_1 and X_1 are usually found by calculations using known formulae, then the impedances R_0 and X_0 , on the contrary, are calculated only indirectly – by multiplying the already found R_1 and X_1 by the standard ratios R_0/R_1 and X_0/X_1 given in regulatory documents:

$$R_0 = R_1 \cdot R_0 / R_1$$
$$X_0 = X_1 \cdot X_0 / X_1$$

In the article, we will assess the consequences of the fact that in cable networks around the world are used:

- incorrect formulae for calculating R_1 and X_1 ;
- incorrect relations R_0/R_1 and X_0/X_1 .

In particular, we will show that the use of incorrect cable line (CL) longitudinal parameters can lead to an underestimation of short-circuit currents, and the real values of these short-circuit currents in cable networks can be up to 20-30% higher.

It should be noted that the calculation of short-circuit currents is done by many organizations (designing, scientific, dispatching), but all of them use specialized computer programs for these purposes, which are overly trusted (since it is assumed that a computer "cannot be mistaken"). In fact, these programs are based on misconceptions about the CL parameters of the positive and zero-sequence, since, for example, their users are not even required to specify such important information as the cross-section of the screens and their grounding/bonding scheme.

As for the calculation of parameters according to formulae from regulatory documents [1-4], they are so complex that engineers avoid this possibility.

II. CL PARAMETERS FROM CABLE CATALOGUES

Catalogues of cable manufactures and some industry standards contain the same simple formulae for calculating the CL longitudinal parameters of the positive-sequence. For reasons that we will explain later, we will denote such catalog's parameters as R_{11} and X_{11} :

$$R_{11} = R_{11}^* \cdot l_{CL} X_{11} = \omega L_{11}^* \cdot l_{CL}$$

where R_{11}^* and L_{11}^* – linear values of active impedance and inductance;

 $\omega = 2\pi f$ – circular frequency (f = 50 Hz); l_{CL} – CL length.

$$\frac{Active \ impedance}{R_{11}}^* = K_{skin} \cdot \frac{\rho_c}{F_c}$$

where F_c – the cross-section of the core;

 ρ_c – the resistivity of the core material (depends on the temperature at which it is necessary to determine the CL parameters);

 K_{skin} – the coefficient of the surface (skin) effect depending on the core cross-section F_c (for copper core $K_{skin} = 1 \div$ 1.15, for aluminum core $K_{skin} = 1 \div$ 1.06).

In the case of two-side screen grounding of the CL, according to [5,6], alternating currents I_S are induced in them and losses of active power P_S occur. Currents I_S and losses P_S increase as they grow:

- the distance between cables of the cable line s_{CL} ;
- screen cross-sections F_S .

The active impedance of the positive-sequence R_1^* must necessarily take into account the main sources of active power losses of the CL – losses in the cores P_c and losses in the screens P_s . Therefore, in the case of two-side grounding of the CL screens, the formula for R_1^* must contain not only the cross-section F_c , on which the losses P_c depend, but also the values s_{CL} and F_s , on which the losses P_s depend.

If you look at the above formula for active impedance, then there are no s_{CL} and F_S in it, which means that such a formula takes into account only losses P_C in cores and does not take into account losses P_S in screens. Thus, this formula is applicable only for CL with the following schemes that do not have currents and losses in the screens [5,6]:

- one-side grounding;
- screen cross-bonding.

So, the CL positive-sequence active impedance, which is given by the formula from the catalogues, is better denoted not R_1^* , but otherwise – let R_{11}^* .

$$L_{11}^{*} = L_{int}^{*} + \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} ln\left(\frac{S_{CL}}{r_1}\right)$$

where $\mu_0 = 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7}$ H/m – the magnetic constant; S_{CL} – the average distance between the cable axes along the

CL route;

 r_1 – the radius of the core;

 $\bar{L_{int}}^* = 0.05 \cdot 10^{-6}$ H/m is the core internal inductance.

After converting all values from the dimension of H/m to the dimension of mH/km:

$$L_{11}^{*} = 0.05 + 0.2 \cdot ln\left(\frac{S_{CL}}{r_1}\right)$$

With two-side grounding of the CL screens, induced currents I_S pass through them, which are the greater the greater the distance between the phases S_{CL} and the cross-section of the screen F_S . These screen currents with their magnetic field weaken the magnetic field of the currents I_C of the cores, and therefore the CL inductance of the positive-sequence L_1^* decreases.

If you look at the above formula for inductance, then there is no cross-section F_S in it, which means that the formula does ot take into account induced screen currents I_S . Thus, the formula is applicable only for CL with the following schemes that do not have currents and losses in the screens [5,6]:

- one-side grounding;
- screen cross-bonding.

So, the CL positive-sequence inductance, which is given by the formula from the catalogues, is better denote not L_1^* , but otherwise – let L_{11}^* .

Once again, note that for any CL with two-side screen grounding, the CL positive-sequence longitudinal inductance L_1^* will always be less than the value L_{11}^* from the catalogues. In particular, this means that in cable networks where there are a lot of cable lines with two-side grounding of screens (usually all 6-35 kV networks), the real currents of the three-phase short-circuit will be greater than the values calculated using catalogues.

Conclusion on parameters from catalogues

Formulae for the CL positive-sequence longitudinal parameters, which are given in the catalogues of cable manufactures, can be used exclusively for CL with one-side grounding of screens or their cross-bonding, and they are not suitable for two-side grounding of screens. Since catalogues do not provide explanations about it, engineers have the erroneous impression that catalog formulae are universal and applicable to any CL.

It should be noted that often in catalogues the formulae R_{11}^* and L_{11}^* are indicated without the indexes "1", simply as R^* and L^* . This leads to the fact that engineers perceive them not as parameters of a positive-sequence, but as universal CL parameters that are valid for both positive and zero-sequences, which will be incorrect. In fact, the parameters of the CL in the zero-sequence may differ at times from the positive-sequence parameters – we will consider this question further.

III. CL PARAMETERS FROM STANDARDS

As a rule, the standards contain the same formulae for positive-sequence parameters that are given in cable catalogues. These formulae are correct not for any of wellknown screen grounding/bonding schemes. However, regardless of the screen grounding/bonding scheme, it is impossible to calculate the parameters of the zero-sequence using such formulae.

To estimate the CL parameters of the zero-sequence, the standard [7] is used, where information is given on both the overhead line and the CL. For example, according to clause 4.2.5, the overhead line is characterized by $X_0/X_1 = 2.0 \div 5.5$, and the specific values depend on the properties of the shield wire and the number of line circuits (Table 1). It can be seen that the better the shield wires conduct current, the smaller the value X_0/X_1 will be. For example, if overhead line shield wires, like screens of XLPE-insulated cables, could be made not of steel (or aluminum alloys), but of pure copper, with a large cross-section, then it is likely that even $X_0/X_1 < 1$ would be obtained for overhead lines.

Table 1. The ratio X_0/X_1 for overhead lines, depending on shield wires and circuits.

Oracle all's fature	X_0 / X_1		
Overnead line leatures	1 circuit	2 circuits	
no grounded shield wires	3.5	5.5	
with grounded shield wires made of steel	3.0	4.7	
with grounded shield wires made of low impedance metals	2.0	3.0	

For CL according to clause 4.2.6, "The CL impedance of the zero-sequence depends on the design of their laying, the presence or absence of a conductive metallic sheath (screen), the grounding impedance of the conductive sheath or screen (if any) and other factors. With approximate calculations, it is acceptable to take":

$$K_0/X_1 = 3.5 \div 4.5$$

 $R_0/R_1 = 10$

It can be seen that, in general, the ratio X_0/X_1 for overhead lines and CL according to the standard [7] is approximately the same. However, it is worth noting here that [7] was published in 1998, when paper-insulated cables without copper wire screens were dominant. The appearance of such screens in CL (in clause 4.2.6 they are called a "conductive sheath"), of course, will significantly change the typical X_0/X_1 ratios for CL.

Modern power cables with insulation made of cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE) are necessarily equipped with well-conducting copper screens, which means that for such cables, a decrease in X_0/X_1 should be expected, and up to $X_0/X_1 < 1$. It turns out that the values given in [7] $X_0/X_1 = 3.5 \div 4.5$ currently, most likely, can no longer be applied.

If we refer to Table 1 for overhead lines, it clearly states that the influence of the properties of shield wires on X_0/X_1 occurs exclusively under the condition of grounding of shield wires, that is, when currents can pass through them. A similar situation will be for CL – the appearance of well-conducting copper screens can reduce X_0/X_1 , but only if currents can pass through them.

If the CL screens are one-side grounded, then currents cannot pass there (even if the screens are made of copper), which means that the ratio $X_0/X_1 = 3.5 \div 4.5$ of the standard [7], apparently, has not lost relevance here. As for the two-side grounding of the CL screens or their cross-bonding, the zero-sequence currents are easily passed on such screens, which means that the ratio X_0/X_1 will be less than in [7], up to $X_0/X_1 < 1$.

So, in the modern cable network, not $X_0/X_1 = 3.5 \div 4.5$ is true for CL, as it was supposed earlier, but smaller ratios, up to $X_0/X_1 < 1$. This means that in cable networks, single-phase short-circuit currents may actually be significantly higher than the values that were calculated earlier using the parameters from [7].

IV. CORRECT CL PARAMETERS

In [5,6], simple formulae are proposed for calculating the longitudinal parameters of modern CL with screened cables. These formulae take into account the main influencing factors, including:

- the grounding/bonding scheme of the screens;
- cross-section and material of screens;
- distance between cables;
- sequence (positive, zero).

The range of changes in the CL parameters calculated according to [5,6] was given in the article [8] and duplicated in Table 2 (the above formulae from catalogues are correct for calculating the impedances R_{11} and X_{11}). From Table 2, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- the CL positive-sequence parameters for the case of twoside screen grounding may differ markedly from the catalog's R₁₁ and X₁₁, which are valid exclusively for one-side grounding of screens or their cross-bonding;
- the CL zero-sequence parameters differ markedly from the standard [7], and it is especially necessary to pay attention to the possibility of the situation $X_0/X_1 < 1$.

Table 2. Parameters of CL with XLPE-insulation cables (per [8]).

Screen bonding/ grounding scheme	Positive-sequence		Zero-sequence	
	<i>R</i> ₁	<i>X</i> ₁	R_0/R_1	X_0 / X_1
One-side grounding	D	X ₁₁	3 ÷ 15	4 ÷ 25
Cross- bonding	κ ₁₁		2 ÷ 30	0.25 ÷ 2
Two-side grounding	$(1.01 \\ \div 5) \\ \cdot R_{11}$	$(0.45 \div 0.99) \cdot X_{11}$	1 ÷ 20	0.5 ÷ 2

V. AN EXAMPLE OF EVALUATION THE THREE-PHASE SHORT-CIRCUIT CURRENT

Consider a simple radial cable network shown in Figure 1. In this scheme, we evaluate the current I_K of the three-phase short-circuit at the end of the CL. The specified current I_K may be needed, for example, to select the cross-section of cores and screens of cables connected in series with the circuit in question (such cables are conventionally designated as "consumers" in the diagram).

For certainty, we assume that we are talking about a 110 kV class grid with an internal inductive impedance $X_G = 2 \Omega$ and the AC 50 Hz maximum continuous operating voltage $U_{mcov} = 127$ kV. Suppose a 110 kV CL has a length of $l_{CL} = 5$ km and is made by single-core cables with copper cores $F_C = 1000$ mm² and screens $F_S = 240$ mm². Let the three single-core cables be laid in three polymer pipes with a diameter of D = 225 mm each (the pipes are located close to each other in a bundle, that is, in a closed triangle).



Fig.1. Radial cable network with insulation fault (current I_F).

CL parameters by catalogues

With a cross-section $F_c = 1000 \text{ mm}^2$ for copper, according to [6], it is possible to take $K_{skin} = 1.151$ and $\rho_c = 2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ $\Omega \cdot \text{m}$. Then, using the formula from the catalog, we will find: $R_{sc}^* = 23 \text{ mO/km}$

$$R_{11} = 23 \cdot 5 = 115 \text{ m}\Omega$$

For a single-core 110 kV cable with $F_c = 1000 \text{ mm}^2$, the core radius $r_1 = 18.8 \text{ mm}$, cable outer diameter d = 80 mm. When laying the cables in a closed triangle, the distance between the cable axes would be $S_{CL} = d = 80 \text{ mm}$, but when the cables are placed in pipes, the distance $S_{CL} = D = 225 \text{ mm}$ should be taken. Then, using the formula from the catalog, we will find:

$$L_{11}^{*} = 0.546 \text{ mH/km}$$

$$X_{11} = (2\pi \cdot 50) \cdot 0.546 \cdot 5 = 858 \text{ m}\Omega$$

Correct CL parameters by [5,6]

If the CL has a one-side screen grounding or their crossbonding, then the catalog formulae are correct, and we get: $R_{e} = R_{ee} = 0.115 \Omega$

$$R_1 = R_{11} = 0.115 \Omega$$
$$X_1 = X_{11} = 0.858 \Omega$$

If the CL has two-side screen grounding, then the catalog formulae do not apply to such a case. For a copper screen $F_s = 240 \text{ mm}^2$, we define (Tables 1a and 1b from [8]):

$$\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 3.85 \cdot R_{11} = 3.85 \cdot 0.115 = 0.443 \ \Omega \\ X_1 = 0.472 \cdot X_{11} = 0.472 \cdot 0.858 = 0.405 \ \Omega \end{array}$$

<u>Evaluation of the three-phase short circuit current</u> Three-phase short-circuit current at the end of the CL:

$$I_{F(3)} = \frac{E_G}{\sqrt{(R_1)^2 + (X_G + X_1)^2}}$$

where $X_G = 2 \Omega$ – the internal inductive impedance of the grid;

$$E_G = U_{mcov} / \sqrt{3} = 127 / \sqrt{3} = 73 \text{ kV}.$$

If we put into the formula the parameters $R_1 = 0.115 \Omega$ and $X_1 = 0.858 \Omega$ according to the catalog (they are used in specialized programs for calculating short-circuit currents), then the short-circuit current at the end of the CL will be $I_{F(3)} = 25.5 \text{ kA}.$

If we put into the formula the parameters $R_1 = 0.443 \Omega$ and $X_1 = 0.405 \Omega$, which are valid for two-side screen grounding and are not in the catalog, then the short-circuit current at the end of the CL will be $I_{F(3)} = 29.9$ kA, which is 17% more.

So, the real short-circuit current turned out to be 17% higher than the value that was found using catalog formulae that do not take into account all the variety of grounding/ bonding schemes used in practice. It can be seen that the catalog formulae can give noticeable errors in the calculation of the currents of the three-phase short-circuit of the cable network.

VI. AN EXAMPLE OF EVALUATION THE SINGLE-PHASE SHORT-CIRCUIT CURRENT

In the conditions of the previous example, let's assume that a 110 kV CL has a screen cross-bonding (one-side grounding

for a 5 km long CL is usually not applicable). Then the positive-sequence parameters are $R_1 = 0.115 \ \Omega$ and $X_1 = 0.858 \ \Omega$, but the parameters of the zero-sequence are also required.

<u>CL parameters by the standard [7]</u> According to standard [7], we take $R_0/R_1 = 10$ and $X_0/X_1 = 3.5$. Then

$$R_0 = 0.115 \cdot 10 = 1.15 \Omega.$$

$$X_0 = 0.858 \cdot 3.5 = 3.0 \Omega.$$

<u>Correct CL parameters by [5,6]</u> We define (Table.3a and 3b from [8]) that $R_0/R_1 = 5.2$ and $X_0/X_1 = 0.25$. Then

$$R_0 = 0.115 \cdot 5.2 = 0.6 \ \Omega.$$

$$X_0 = 0.858 \cdot 0.25 = 0.215 \ \Omega.$$

<u>Evaluation of the single-phase short-circuit current</u> Single-phase short-circuit current at the end of the CL: $3F_{-}$

$$I_{F(1)} = \frac{3Z_G}{\sqrt{(2R_1 + R_0)^2 + (2(X_G + X_1) + (X_G + X_0))^2}}$$

If we put into the formula the parameters of the zero-sequence [7], which are valid for outdated CL with paper insulation, we get $I_{F(1)} = 20.3$ kA. If we put into the formula the correct parameters of modern CL with XLPE-insulation cables according to [5,6], we get $I_{F(1)} = 27.5$ kA, which is 35% more.

So, the real short-circuit current turned out to be 35% higher than the value that was found using the formulae of the standard, which does not take into account that modern CL have well-conducting screens. It can be seen that the ratios R_0/R_1 and X_0/X_1 from the standard [7] can give noticeable errors in the calculation of single-phase short-circuit currents of the cable network.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

- The CL parameters of the positive-sequence, which are widely used in the calculations of the short-circuit currents of the network, are correct only if there are no currents in the cable screens, which is characteristic exclusively for one-side grounding of the screens or their cross-bonding. With two-side screen grounding of the CL, the parameters of the CL may differ significantly.
- 2. The CL parameters of the zero-sequence, which are widely used in the calculations of the short-circuit currents of the network, are correct only for old-type cables with paper insulation. For modern CL with XLPE-insulation, which are equipped with copper screens (often of large cross-section), the parameters of the CL may differ significantly.
- 3. In design organizations and in the dispatching services of the grid, specialized programs for calculating shortcircuit currents are used, but it is usually difficult to obtain information about the formulae that the programs use. At the same time, we can propose a simple rule for checking programs for their applicability for calculating short-circuit currents of the cable network: it is not

recommended to trust those programs that do not require the user to provide initial data:

- about the grounding/bonding scheme of cable _ screens;
- about the cross-section of the cable screens: _
- about the material of cable screens: _
- about the distance between the cables of the CL. _
- The article showed that the use of incorrect CL 4. parameters in calculations can lead to unpleasant surprises. Thus, in cable networks, the actual shortcircuit currents may be up to 20-30% higher than the calculated values. For example, the article considered cases when the refinement of the CL parameters led to an increase in current:
 - by 17% for a three-phase short-circuit;
 - by 35% for single-phase short-circuit. _
- 5. It is recommended to make the necessary edits to cable catalogues, regulatory documents, and algorithms of computer programs for calculating short-circuit currents in order to change the current situation with incorrect calculation of the longitudinal parameters of modern CL.

VIII.REFERENCES

- PD IEC/TR 60909-2:2008 "Short-circuit currents in three-phase a.c. 1. systems. Part 2. Data of electrical equipment for short-circuit current calculations".
- 2. CIGRE TB 531 "Cable Systems Electrical Characteristics".
- 3. CIGRE TB 908 "Losses in Armoured Three core Power Cables".
- 4. IEC 60287 "Part 1-1. Electric cables - Calculation of the current rating"
- "Bonding and grounding of power cable screens" / M.V. Dmitriev. -5. St. Petersburg: Publishing House of the Polytechnic University, 2010. -152 p.
- 6.
- "High voltage cable lines" / M.V. Dmitriev –Porto, 2024. -690 p. RD 153-34.0-20.527-98. "Guidelines for the calculation of short-7 circuit currents and the choice of electrical equipment" // RAO "Unified energy system", 1998.
- M. Dmitriev "Longitudinal parameters of 6-500 kV cable lines with 8. single-core cables" // Journal "Electric Power. Transmission and Distribution", 2018, No. 1, pp.84-90.